	Case 2:20-cv-00614-JAM-KJN Docume	ent 18 Filed 05/13/20 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	CURTIS W. JOHNSON ET AL.,	No. 2:20-cv-0614-JAM-KJN	
12	Plaintiffs,	<u>ORDER</u>	
13	V.	(ECF Nos. 2, 5, 7, 12, 14)	
14	KENT K. JOHNSON,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	On April 24, 2020 the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations (ECF No.		
18	14), which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the		
19	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. On May 4, 2020		
20	plaintiff filed objections to the findings and recommendations (ECF No. 16), which have been		
21	considered by the court.		
22	This court reviews de novo those portions of the proposed findings of fact to which an		
23	objection has been made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore		
24	Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981); see also Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d		
25	930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009). As to any portion of the proposed findings of fact to which no objection		
26	has been made, the court assumes its correctness and decides the matter on the applicable law.		
27	See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's		
28	conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d		
		1	

	Case 2:20-cv-00614-JAM-KJN Document 18 Filed 05/13/20 Page 2 of 2		
1	452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).		
2	The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,		
3	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the findings and recommendations in full. Accordingly,		
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
5	1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 14) are ADOPTED IN FULL;		
6	2. Plaintiffs' motion to remand (ECF No. 5) is GRANTED;		
7	3. Plaintiffs' request for attorneys' fees and costs (ECF No. 5) is GRANTED;		
8	4. Plaintiffs are awarded \$5,820 in reasonable attorneys' fees and costs;		
9	5. Defendant's motions for joinder (ECF Nos. 7, 12) are DENIED AS MOOT; and		
10	6. This case is REMANDED to the Superior Court of the State of California, County of		
11	El Dorado.		
12	DATED: May 12, 2020		
13			
14	/s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			